



# Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a $p$ -Laplacian equation with positive convex nonlinearity<sup>☆</sup>

Yulian An<sup>a</sup>, Chan-Gyun Kim<sup>b</sup>, Junping Shi<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Institute of Technology, Shanghai, 201418, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics Education, Pusan National University, Busan, 609-735, Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA, 23187-8795, USA

Received 17 August 2014; revised 25 April 2015

Available online 21 October 2015

---

## Abstract

A  $p$ -Laplacian nonlinear elliptic equation with positive and  $p$ -superlinear nonlinearity and Dirichlet boundary condition is considered. We first prove the existence of two positive solutions when the spatial domain is symmetric or strictly convex by using a priori estimates and topological degree theory. For the ball domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 4$  and the case that  $1 < p < 2$ , we prove that the equation has exactly two positive solutions when a parameter is less than a critical value. Bifurcation theory and linearization techniques are used in the proof of the second result.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 35J05; 35J25; 35B32; 34B18

Keywords:  $p$ -Laplacian; Positive solution; Existence; Exact multiplicity; Topological degree; Bifurcation

---

---

<sup>☆</sup> Partially supported by National Science Foundation of US (DMS-1022648), National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271261), Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (14ZR1440800), Innovation Program of Shanghai Municipal Education Commission (14ZZ161).

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [jxshix@wm.edu](mailto:jxshix@wm.edu) (J. Shi).

## 1. Introduction

The  $p$ -Laplacian is a second order quasilinear differential operator which arises from the studies of nonlinear phenomena in non-Newtonian fluids, reaction–diffusion problems, non-linear elasticity, torsional creep problem, glaciology, radiation of heat, etc. [1–3].

In this paper, we consider the positive solutions of Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p u + \lambda f(x, u) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P_\lambda)$$

where  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  with  $p > 1$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian operator,  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N > p$ ,  $\lambda$  is a nonnegative parameter, and the function  $f$  is at least continuous.

The existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to  $(P_\lambda)$  have been investigated extensively in recent years by using various methods. Existence of (possibly multiple) positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  for various nonlinear functions  $f$  has been proved in, for example, [4–13] for a higher dimensional bounded domain, [14–19] for radially symmetric solutions on a ball or an interval. Usually the existence of positive solutions can be proved via variational methods, topological degree theory and other methods.

The uniqueness and exact multiplicity of the positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  is a much harder question. For a  $p$ -sublinear problem, the uniqueness of the positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  was proved in [20] (see also [21]) for a general bounded domain. For radially symmetric solutions, the uniqueness of radially symmetric positive solution on a finite ball, an annulus, or entire space was studied in [22–27] by using a monotone separation theorem and related Pohozaev type equalities. An approach based on maximum principle, linearized equations and implicit function theorem was used in [28,29] to prove the nondegeneracy and uniqueness of positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  on a ball. For the one-dimensional problem, the equation  $(P_\lambda)$  can be integrated via a quadrature method and the uniqueness or exact multiplicity of positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  can be proved by analyzing the associated time-map, see [30–34]. Very recently, the exact multiplicity of positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  on an annulus was showed in [35] by using the Kolodner–Coffman method. Note that except the one-dimensional case, all these previous results are about the uniqueness of positive solutions, and we do not know any exact multiplicity result with more than one solutions for the positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ , even in the radially symmetric case.

For the case  $p = 2$  (Laplacian) and ball domains, exact multiplicity of positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  has been considered under various hypotheses on  $f$ , see [36–40] and references therein. One of new ingredients in these works is a saddle-node bifurcation theorem for a mapping defined in a Banach space [41], and the turning direction of a solution curve at a bifurcation point can be determined from the properties of the nonlinearity  $f$ .

Central to the implicit function theorem and bifurcation method for the solvability of partial differential equations is the differentiability of the nonlinear operator generated by the equation. When  $p = 2$ , the  $p$ -Laplacian operator becomes the classical Laplacian operator, which is linear and clearly differentiable. When  $p \neq 2$ , the differentiability of the nonlinear  $p$ -Laplacian operator is an obstacle for applying the implicit function theorem and a bifurcation method. In [42], the differentiability of the inverse of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator was proved for  $p \neq 2$ , and this result was used in [43,44] to obtain the uniqueness and a global bifurcation diagram of the positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $p$ -sublinear  $f(x, s)$ . That is,  $f$  satisfies  $(p - 1)f(x, s) - f_s(x, s)s \geq 0$  for  $x \in \Omega$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Note that the uniqueness of a positive solution for a  $p$ -superlinear case,

that is,  $(p - 1)f(x, s) - f_s(x, s)s < 0$ , has been proved in [28,29,25], and it is also shown that the positive solution has Morse index 1 in that case, while the solution in the  $p$ -sublinear case is stable (Morse index 0). These two results indicate that when  $(p - 1)f(x, s) - f_s(x, s)s$  does not change sign, then any positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  is non-degenerate and the implicit function theorem can be used for a continuation of the solution curve. Hence, all positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  lie on a continuous curve with no turning point, from which the uniqueness of positive solutions can be obtained.

Our main result in this paper is that when  $f(x, s) \equiv f(s)$  is a positive, monotone increasing and convex nonlinearity, under a suitable subcritical growth condition on  $f$  (see (3.35)) and if  $\Omega$  is a unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 4$ ), then there exists  $\lambda^* > 0$ , such that for  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $(P_\lambda)$  has no positive solution for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , has exactly one positive solution for  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , and has exactly two positive solutions for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ . Moreover all positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  lie on a single smooth solution curve  $\Sigma$ , which starts from  $(\lambda, u) = (0, 0)$ , continues as the minimal solution to some saddle-node bifurcation point  $(\lambda^*, u^*)$ , then turns back and continues as the large solution to  $(\lambda, u) = (0, \infty)$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is a  $\supset$ -shaped curve.

This type of multiplicity result for positive and convex  $f$  was first proved in [41] for an ordinary differential equation in form of  $u'' + \lambda f(u) = 0$  for  $x \in (0, \pi)$  with Dirichlet boundary condition, and it was generalized in [45] to a semilinear elliptic partial differential equation in form of  $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$  for  $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  with Dirichlet boundary condition. In these works, the existence of two positive solutions was proved under a subcritical growth condition on  $f$ . For the ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , the global bifurcation diagram for  $(P_\lambda)$  with  $p = 2$  and  $f(x, u) = f(u)$  is exactly  $\supset$ -shaped, see [40]. Hence our main result in this paper is a generalization of this well-known exact multiplicity result for the semilinear case.

To establish the exact multiplicity of positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  with  $1 < p < 2$  on a ball, we need to resolve several difficulties: (i) the  $C^2$  differentiability of the nonlinear mapping defined by the equation; (ii) non-oscillatory property of the solution of the linearized equation. We adopt the bifurcation approach in [41,45,40] to a nonlinear mapping involving the inverse of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator as in [43,44], in the functional setting of [29]. We further extend the  $C^1$  differentiability of the inverse of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator in [42] to  $C^2$  differentiability, so the turning direction at a turning point of bifurcation curve can be calculated. We also prove the positivity of solution of the linearized equation of  $(P_\lambda)$  by an approach of [40]. By overcoming these difficulties caused by the nonlinearity of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator, we obtain a complete characterization of the set of positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  for  $1 < p < 2$  and  $\Omega$  being the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $N \geq 4$ . As far as we know, it is the first exact multiplicity result for radially symmetric solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  when  $N \geq 2$ .

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we first present some preliminary lemmas. Then we prove the existence of two positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  when  $\lambda$  is in a certain range (Theorem 2.5). The exact multiplicity of solutions to  $(P_\lambda)$  is analyzed in Section 3. The main result of our paper is Theorem 3.9.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Solutions of $p$ -Laplace equations

Throughout this paper we assume  $f \in C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty), (0, \infty))$  and satisfies the growth condition:

$$0 < f(x, s) \leq C_0(1 + s^{q-1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $C_0 > 0$  and  $q > 1$  are constants.

A function  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is said to be a weak solution to problem  $(P_\lambda)$  if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v \, dx \quad \text{for all } v \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

If  $q \leq Np/(N - p)$  in (2.1), then every solution  $u$  to problem  $(P_\lambda)$  belongs to  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Indeed, for subcritical case  $q < Np/(N - p)$ , this is a consequence of the result in Serrin [46] on the  $L^\infty$ -estimates, and for critical case  $q = Np/(N - p)$ , a proof of this fact could be found in Peral [47, Appendix E]. Consequently  $u \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$  for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  due to interior regularity in Tolksdorf [48, Theorem 1] and regularity near the boundary in Lieberman [49, Theorem 1]. Moreover it follows from the strong maximum principle in Vázquez [50] that  $u > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\partial u/\partial \nu < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , since 0 is not a solution to problem  $(P_\lambda)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}_1 := \{u \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}) : u \geq 0\}$  be the ordered cone in  $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . Define a mapping  $T : [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$  by

$$T(\lambda, u) := (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(\lambda N_f)u \quad \text{for } (\lambda, u) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}_1.$$

Here  $(-\Delta_p)^{-1} : L^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$  is the inverse  $p$ -Laplacian operator for a bounded domain  $\Omega$  with  $\partial\Omega \in C^{1,\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ . It is completely continuous and order-preserving (see, e.g., [5, Lemma 1.1]). The Nemitskii operator  $N_f : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$  is defined as

$$N_f(u)(x) = f(x, u(x)) \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{K}_1 \text{ and } x \in \Omega,$$

and it is continuous and maps bounded sets in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  into bounded sets in  $L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Hence  $T$  is well-defined, and it is completely continuous on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{K}_1$ . Furthermore, problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a positive solution  $u$  if and only if  $T(\lambda, \cdot)$  has a fixed point  $u$  in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .

Next we recall a well-known fixed point index theorem which will be used to prove the existence of positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (See [51].) *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $\mathcal{K}$  a cone in  $X$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  bounded and open in  $X$ . Let  $0 \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $A : \mathcal{K} \cap \bar{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  be completely continuous. Suppose that  $Ax \neq vx$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{K} \cap \partial\mathcal{O}$  and all  $v \geq 1$ . Then  $i(A, \mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{K}) = 1$ .*

### 2.2. Existence of two positive solutions

In this subsection we prove the existence of two positive solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$  when  $\Omega$  and  $f$  satisfy certain conditions. For the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda |u|^{p-2} u = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

it is known that the principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1^*$  for problem (2.2) exists, and  $\lambda_1^* \in (0, \infty)$  is a simple isolated eigenvalue for problem (2.2) with the associated eigenfunction  $w^* > 0$  in  $\Omega$  (see, e.g., [52]).

The following lemma gives an extent of the parameter  $\lambda$  for which  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least one positive solution. Assume that  $f(x, s)$  satisfies:

(H0) There exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $q$  such that  $q \in \left( p, \frac{Np}{N-p} \right]$  and

$$C_1 s^{p-1} < f(x, s) < C_2(1 + s^{q-1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \bar{\Omega} \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $f$  satisfies (H0). Then*

1.  $(P_\lambda)$  has no positive solution for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^* C_1^{-1}$ .
2. There exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that  $(P_\lambda)$  has no positive solution for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , and  $(P_\lambda)$  has a minimal positive solution for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ .

**Proof.** 1. We first provide an upper bound of the parameter  $\lambda$  for which  $(P_\lambda)$  has a positive solution. Assume on the contrary that there exists a positive solution  $u_\lambda$  of problem  $(P_\lambda)$  with  $\lambda \geq \lambda_1^* C_1^{-1}$ . Let  $u = w^*, v = u_\lambda, A = B = 1, a(x) = \lambda_1^*, b(x) = \lambda f(x, u_\lambda)/u_\lambda^{p-1}$  in [53, Theorem 1]. Then  $a(x) \leq b(x)$  in  $\Omega$ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} L(w^*, u_\lambda) dx \leq 0,$$

since  $u_\lambda > 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Here

$$L(w^*, u_\lambda) = |w^*|^p - p \left( \frac{w^*}{u_\lambda} \right)^{p-1} |u_\lambda|^{p-2} \nabla u_\lambda \nabla w^* + (p-1) \left( \frac{w^*}{u_\lambda} \right)^p |\nabla u_\lambda|^p. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand,  $L(u_\lambda, w^*) \geq 0$  by Picone’s identity (see, for example, [54, Theorem 1.1]). Thus  $L(u_\lambda, w^*) = 0$ , for almost everywhere in  $\Omega$ , which implies  $u_\lambda = k w^*$  for some constant  $k$ , and one can easily reach a contradiction.

2. Let  $\bar{w}$  be the unique positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla \bar{w}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{w}) + 1 = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ \bar{w} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\bar{w} \in C^{1,\beta}(\bar{\Omega})$  for some  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  by well-known regularity results (e.g., [48, Theorem 1] and [49, Theorem 1]). Moreover  $\bar{w} > 0$  in  $\Omega$  and  $\partial \bar{w} / \partial \nu < 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  by [50, Theorem 5]. One can see that  $\bar{w}$  is a super-solution of problem  $(P_\lambda)$  for sufficiently small  $\lambda > 0$ . Since 0 is a sub-solution to problem  $(P_\lambda)$  for each  $\lambda > 0$ , then there exists a positive solution  $u(\lambda)$  to problem  $(P_\lambda)$  for small  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $0 < u(\lambda) \leq \bar{w}$  in  $\Omega$ . Setting

$$\lambda^* := \sup\{\lambda : (P_\lambda) \text{ has a positive solution}\}, \quad (2.4)$$

then it follows from the result of part 1 that  $\lambda^* \in (0, \infty)$  is well defined. For small  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\bar{\lambda} \in (\lambda^* - \delta, \lambda^*)$  such that problem  $(P_{\bar{\lambda}})$  has a positive solution  $\bar{u}$ . Since the solution  $\bar{u}$  is a super-solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for all  $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$  and 0 is a sub-solution of  $(P_\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ , problem

$(P_\lambda)$  has at least one positive solution for all  $0 < \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda}$ , and thus problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a minimal positive solution  $u_m(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$  such that  $u_m(\lambda)$  is nondecreasing with respect to  $\lambda$  in view of [55, Theorem 4.11].  $\square$

To obtain the existence of a second positive solution of  $(P_\lambda)$ , we give an additional assumption on  $f(x, s)$ :

(H1) There exist positive constants  $C_3, C_4$  and  $q$  such that  $q \in \left( p, \frac{(N-1)p}{N-p} \right]$  and

$$C_3(1 + s^{q-1}) \leq f(x, s) \leq C_4(1 + s^{q-1}) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } s \in [0, \infty).$$

**Definition 2.3.** Assume  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  is open, bounded and  $0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $e_i$  be the  $i$ -th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , i.e.,  $e_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is Steiner symmetric w.r.t. the coordinate hyperplane  $H_i = \{x : x \cdot e_i = 0\}$  if the intersection of  $\Omega$  with lines  $\{P + te_i : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ,  $P \in H_i$  is either empty or of the form  $\{P + te_i : t \in (-t_0(P), t_0(P))\}$ . We say that  $\Omega$  is  $n$ -fold Steiner symmetric if  $\Omega$  is Steiner symmetric w.r.t. the  $n$  coordinate hyperplanes  $H_1, \dots, H_n$ .

The following lemma can be proved by using the scaling argument of C. Azizieh and P. Clément [5]. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of it.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that (H1) is satisfied and one of the following is also satisfied:

1.  $f(x, s)$  is strictly decreasing in  $x_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , when  $\Omega$  is a bounded  $n$ -fold Steiner symmetric domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ;
2.  $f(x, s) = f(|x|, s)$  is weakly decreasing in the first variable when  $\Omega = B_1(0)$  is a unit ball;
3.  $f(x, s) = f(s)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  when  $\Omega$  is a strictly convex domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with  $C^2$  boundary and  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

Then for given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $M > 0$ , there exists a constant  $C(\epsilon, M)$  such that

$$\|u_\lambda\|_{C^1} \leq C(\epsilon, M),$$

for any positive solution  $u_\lambda$  of problem  $(P_\lambda)$  with  $\lambda \in [\epsilon, M]$ .

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that there is a sequence  $\{(\lambda_n, u_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of solutions to problem  $(P_{\lambda_n})$  such that  $\lambda_n \in [\epsilon, M]$  and  $\|u\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In view of the symmetry results for positive solutions due to Brock [56],  $u_n$  attains its maximum only at  $x = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see, e.g., [57, Section 2]). Therefore the function  $v_n(x) := c_n^{-1} u_n(c_n^{-\gamma} x)$  with  $\gamma = (q - p)/p$  and  $c_n = \|u_n\|_\infty = u_n(0)$  is defined in  $B_n := B_{c_n^\gamma}(0)$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \int_{B_n} |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx = \lambda_n c_n^{1-q} \int_{B_n} f(c_n^{-\gamma} x, c_n v_n(x)) \psi \, dx, \\ v_n \in C_0^1(\bar{B}_n), \quad v_n \geq 0 \text{ on } B_n, \quad \|v_n\|_\infty = 1 \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

for all  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_n)$ . By (H1),

$$\epsilon C_3(c_n^{1-q} + v_n^{q-1}(x)) \leq \lambda_n c_n^{1-q} f(c_n^{-\gamma} x, c_n v_n(x)) \leq M C_4(c_n^{1-q} + v_n^{q-1}(x)) \quad \text{in } B_n.$$

Fixing  $n_0 > 0$  and denoting  $B = B_{n_0}$ , it follows from Lieberman [49, Theorem 1] that there exist  $K > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depending only on  $N, p, B$  such that

$$v_n \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_n\|_{C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{B})} \leq K, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Thus there exist a function  $v_B$  and a convergent subsequence  $v_{n'} \rightarrow v_B$  in  $C^1(\bar{B})$  in view of Arzelà–Ascoli theorem. Taking test functions in  $C_c^\infty(B)$  in (2.5) and passing to the limit, we have

$$\begin{cases} \int_B |\nabla v_B|^{p-2} \nabla v_B \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx \geq \epsilon C_3 \int_B v_B^{q-1} \psi \, dx \text{ for all } \psi \in C_c^\infty(B), \\ v_B \in C^1(\bar{B}), \quad v_B \geq 0 \text{ in } B, \quad \|v_B\|_\infty = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by the strong maximum principle due to Vázquez [50],  $v_B(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in B$  since  $v_B \neq 0$ . Taking balls bigger and bigger we obtain the existence of a function  $v \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx \geq \epsilon C_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v^{q-1} \psi \, dx, \text{ for all } \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \\ v > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad \|v\|_\infty = 1, \end{cases}$$

which contradicts a nonexistence result for differential inequalities by Mitidieri and Pokhozhaev [58].

For part 3, by [5, Proposition 4.1], there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d(x_n, \partial\Omega) \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $u_n(x_n) = \|u_n\|_\infty$ . The function  $v_n(x) := c_n^{-1} u_n(c_n^{-\gamma} x + x_n)$  with  $\gamma = (q - p)/p$  is defined on  $B_n := B_{c_n^\gamma \delta}(0)$ . By similar argument as the above, we can obtain the same result.  $\square$

The main existence result of this subsection is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Assume that the hypotheses in Lemma 2.4 are satisfied. Assume in addition that  $f(x, s)$  is nondecreasing in  $s$ . Then problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two positive solutions for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ , one positive solution for  $\lambda = \lambda^*$  and no positive solution for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ .*

**Proof.** By definition of  $\lambda^*$  and an easy compactness argument, problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a positive solution for  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*]$  and no solutions for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ . We will show that  $(P_\lambda)$  has at least two positive solutions for all  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be fixed with  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ , and let  $u_*$  be a positive solution of  $(P_{\lambda^*})$ . Consider the modified problem

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + \lambda \bar{f}(x, u) = 0, & x \in \Omega, \\ u = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{P_\lambda}$$

where  $\bar{f}(x, s) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined as

$$\bar{f}(x, s) = \begin{cases} f(x, u_*(x)), & \text{if } s > u_*(x), \\ f(x, s), & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq u_*(x), \\ f(x, 0), & \text{if } u < 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\bar{f}(x, s) \leq f(x, u_*(x))$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  since  $f(x, s)$  is nondecreasing in  $s$ .

Define  $M : \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$  as

$$Mu := (-\Delta_p)^{-1}(\lambda N_{\bar{f}})u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{K}_1.$$

Then  $M$  is completely continuous on  $\mathcal{K}_1$ , and  $u$  is a positive solution of  $(\bar{P}_\lambda)$  if and only if  $u = Mu$  on  $\mathcal{K}_1$ . By the property of  $(-\Delta_p)^{-1}$  and boundedness of  $\bar{f}$ , there exists  $R_1 > 0$  such that  $\|Mu\|_{C^1} < R_1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{K}_1$ . Applying [Theorem 2.1](#) with  $O = B_{R_1}$ , we get

$$i(M, B_{R_1} \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

Put

$$\Sigma = \left\{ u \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega}) : |u| < u_* \text{ in } \Omega, \|u\|_{C^1} < R_1 \text{ and } \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right| < \left| \frac{\partial u_*}{\partial \nu} \right| \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\},$$

then  $\Sigma$  is bounded and open in  $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . For any positive solution  $\bar{u}$  of  $(\bar{P}_\lambda)$ , it follows from the strong comparison principle due to Cuesta and Takáč [[59, Theorem 2.1](#)] that  $\bar{u} \in \Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1$ . By [\(2.6\)](#) and excision property, we get

$$i(M, \Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = i(M, B_{R_1} \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = 1. \tag{2.7}$$

Since problem  $(P_\lambda)$  is equivalent to problem  $(\bar{P}_\lambda)$  on  $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1$ , we conclude that problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has a positive solution in  $\Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1$ . We may assume  $T(\lambda, \cdot)$  has no fixed point in  $\partial\Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1$ , otherwise the proof is done. Then  $i(T(\lambda, \cdot), \Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1)$  is well defined and by [\(2.7\)](#), we have

$$i(T(\lambda, \cdot), \Sigma \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = 1. \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from [Lemma 2.2](#) that problem  $(P_{\lambda_0})$  has no solution in  $\mathcal{K}_1$  for  $\lambda_0 > \lambda_1^* C_1^{-1}$ . By [Lemma 2.4](#), there exists  $R_2 (> R_1)$  such that for all possible positive solutions  $u$  of problem  $(P_\mu)$  with  $\mu \in [\lambda, \lambda_0]$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{C^1} < R_2.$$

Define  $h : [0, 1] \times (\bar{B}_{R_2} \cap \mathcal{K}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$  by

$$h(\tau, u) = T(\tau\lambda_0 + (1 - \tau)\lambda, u).$$

Then  $h$  is completely continuous on  $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{K}_1$  and  $h(\tau, u) \neq u$  for all  $(\tau, u) \in [0, 1] \times (\partial B_{R_2} \cap \mathcal{K}_1)$ . By homotopy invariance and the solution properties, we obtain

$$i(T(\lambda, \cdot), B_{R_2} \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = i(T(\lambda_0, \cdot), B_{R_2} \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = 0.$$

Thus by the additivity property and [\(2.8\)](#), we get

$$i(T(\lambda, \cdot), (B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{\Sigma}) \cap \mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_1) = -1.$$

This implies that problem  $(P_\lambda)$  has another positive solution in  $(B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{\Sigma}) \cap \mathcal{K}_1$ , and consequently the proof is complete.  $\square$



### 2.3. Bifurcation theory

For discussing the exactly multiplicity of solutions of  $(P_\lambda)$ , we recall a bifurcation theorem by Crandall and Rabinowitz [41]:

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces, let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times X$ , and let  $F : U \rightarrow Y$  be a continuously differentiable mapping. Assume that  $F(\lambda_0, u_0) = 0$ . At  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$ ,  $F$  satisfies*

- (1)  $\dim N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \text{codim } R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = 1$ , and  $N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \text{span}\{w\}$ ,
- (2)  $F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) \notin R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$ .

Let  $Z$  be any complement of  $\text{span}\{w\}$  in  $X$ . Then the solutions of  $F(\lambda, u) = 0$  near  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  form a curve  $\{(\lambda(s), u(s)) : s \in I = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\}$ , where  $\lambda : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z : I \rightarrow Z$  are  $C^1$  functions such that  $u(s) = u_0 + sw + sz(s)$ ,  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda'(0) = 0$ ,  $z(0) = z'(0) = 0$ . Moreover if  $F$  is  $C^2$  near  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$ , then  $(\lambda(s), u(s))$  is also in  $C^2$  class, and

$$\lambda''(0) = -\frac{\langle l, F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0)[w, w] \rangle}{\langle l, F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) \rangle},$$

where  $l \in Y^*$  satisfying  $N(l) = R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$ .

### 3. Exact multiplicity

In this section we assume that  $\Omega = B$ , the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $f(x, s) = f(s)$  for  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . If  $1 < p \leq 2$ , then in view of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [60] and Damascelli and Pacella [61], positive solutions to problem  $(P_\lambda)$  are radially symmetric, and hence problem  $(P_\lambda)$  can be equivalently written as

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1}|u'|^{p-2}u')' + \lambda r^{N-1}f(u) = 0, & r \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = u(1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

From now on, we suppose  $f$  satisfies (H1) and

- (H2)  $f \in C^2([0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ ;
- (H3)  $f'(s) > 0$  and  $f''(s) > 0$  for all  $s \in [0, \infty)$ .

For convenience, we use some notations given by Genoud [43]. For  $p > 1$ , set

$$p^* := \frac{1}{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad p' := p^* + 1 = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

Define  $\phi_p(\xi) := |\xi|^{p-2}\xi$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known that  $\phi_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and its inverse function  $\phi_{p'}$  is  $p^*$ -homogeneous, that is,  $\phi_{p'}(t\xi) = t^{p^*}\phi_{p'}(\xi)$ , for  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .

Let  $(\lambda, u)$  be a positive solution of (3.1). Then

$$u'(r) = -\lambda^{p^*} \left( \frac{1}{r^{N-1}} \int_0^r \tau^{N-1} f(u(\tau)) d\tau \right)^{p^*}, \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

Clearly  $u'(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ . Moreover, one can derive a precise behavior of  $u$  near the origin using the L'Hospital's rule, see Aftalion and Pacella [29]. Indeed,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{-p^*} u'(r) = - \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} f(u(0)) \right)^{p^*}, \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{-(p^*-1)} u''(r) = -p^* \left( \frac{\lambda}{N} f(u(0)) \right)^{p^*}. \tag{3.3}$$

We will work in various function spaces. For  $n = 0, 1, 2$ ,  $C^n[0, 1]$  denotes the space of  $n$  times continuously differentiable functions defined in  $[0, 1]$ , with the usual Sup norm  $\| \cdot \|_{C^n[0,1]}$ , and  $W^{1,1}(0, 1)$  is the Sobolev space. Following [29], define

$$\begin{aligned} X := \{v \in C^2(0, 1] \cap C^1[0, 1] : v'(0) = v(1) = 0, \\ \text{with } |v'(x)| \leq cx^{p^*}, |v''(x)| \leq cx^{p^*-1}, x \in (0, 1]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where  $c > 0$ , then  $X$  is a Banach space with the norm (see [29])

$$\|v\|_X = \|v\|_{C^2[1/2,1]} + \sup_{0 < s < 1/2} \frac{|v'(s)|}{s^{p^*}} + \sup_{0 < s < 1/2} \frac{|v''(s)|}{s^{p^*-1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

If  $(\lambda, u)$  is a positive solution of (3.1), then  $u \in X$  by (3.2) and (3.3).

For any  $h \in C^0[0, 1]$ , the problem

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1} \phi_p(u'))' + r^{N-1} h(r) = 0, & r \in (0, 1), \\ u'(0) = u(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

has a unique solution  $u(h) \in C^1[0, 1]$  given by

$$u(h)(r) = \int_r^1 \phi_{p'} \left[ \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} h(t) dt \right] ds. \tag{3.7}$$

From (3.7), we define the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_p : C^0[0, 1] &\rightarrow C^1[0, 1], & S_p(h)(r) &:= u(h)(r); \\
 J : C^0[0, 1] &\rightarrow C^1[0, 1], & J(h)(s) &:= \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} h(t) dt; \\
 \Phi_q : C^0[0, 1] &\rightarrow C^0[0, 1], & \Phi_q(g)(s) &:= \phi_q(g(s)), \quad \text{for any } q > 1; \\
 I : C^0[0, 1] &\rightarrow C^1[0, 1], & I(k)(r) &:= \int_r^1 k(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Obviously we have  $S_p = I \circ \Phi_p \circ J$ . The continuity and differentiability of the operator  $S_p$  has been proved in, for example, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.5 of [43], which we recall here:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that  $p > 1$  and  $N \geq 1$ . Let  $S_p : C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^1[0, 1]$  be defined as in (3.7) and (3.8).*

1.  $S_p$  is continuous, bounded and compact.
2. If in addition  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $S_p$  is continuously differentiable at any  $h \in C^0[0, 1]$ , and for any  $\bar{h}_1 \in C^0[0, 1]$ , we have

$$DS_p(h)[\bar{h}_1] = p^* I(|J(h)|^{p^*-1} J(\bar{h}_1)). \tag{3.9}$$

Furthermore,  $v = DS_p(h)[\bar{h}_1]$  is equivalent to  $v \in C^1[0, 1]$  and  $v$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1}|u(h)'(r)|^{p-2}v'(r))' + p^*r^{N-1}\bar{h}_1(r) = 0, & r \in (0, 1), \\ v'(0) = v(1) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $u(h) = S_p(h)$ .

Note that by differentiating (3.7) one gets

$$|u(h)'|^{2-p} = |J(h)|^{p^*-1}. \tag{3.11}$$

So (3.10) comes from (3.9) and (3.11).

To prove the exact multiplicity of positive solutions, we also need the information of the twice differentiability of the operator  $S_p$ . To prove that, we state a lemma which is similar to Lemma 2.1 in [42], and we omit its proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose that  $\alpha > -2$  and  $v_0 \in C^1[0, 1]$  has only simple zeros. Then there exists a neighborhood  $V_0$  of  $v_0$  in  $C^1[0, 1]$  such that if  $v \in V_0$  then  $|v|^\alpha v \in L^1(0, 1)$  and the mapping  $v \rightarrow |v|^\alpha v$  is continuous from  $V_0 \rightarrow L^1(0, 1)$ .*

We can now prove the twice differentiability of  $S_p$  as follows.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Suppose that  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $h_0 \in C^0[0, 1]$  satisfying  $h_0(r) > 0$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $u(h_0)$  be defined as in (3.7). Then there exists a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $h_0$  in  $C^0[0, 1]$  such that the*

mapping  $h \mapsto |J(h)|^{p^*-3}J(h) : V_1 \rightarrow L^1(0, 1)$  is continuous,  $S_p : V_1 \rightarrow W^{1,1}(0, 1)$  is  $C^2$ , and for all  $h \in V_1, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2 \in C^0[0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D^2S_p(h)[\bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2] &= p^*(p^* - 1)I(|J(h)|^{p^*-3}J(h)J(\bar{h}_1)J(\bar{h}_2)) \\ &= p^*(p^* - 1)I(|u(h)'|^{4-3p}J(h)J(\bar{h}_1)J(\bar{h}_2)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where  $u(h) = S_p(h)$ .

**Proof.** Define  $v_0 := J(h_0) \in C^1[0, 1]$ . Then by (3.6) and (3.7),

$$u(h_0)'(r) = -\phi_{p'}(J(h_0)), \quad \text{or} \quad -\phi_p(u(h_0)'(r)) = J(h_0),$$

and

$$(r^{N-1}\phi_p(u(h_0)'(r)))' + r^{N-1}h_0(r) = 0, \quad r \in [0, 1].$$

We claim that  $v_0$  has a unique simple zero  $r = 0$ . From the condition  $h_0(r) > 0$  as  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we obtain  $r = 0$  is the unique zero point of  $v_0$ . On the other hand, for all  $r \in (0, 1]$ , from (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} v_0'(r) = J(h_0)'(r) &= (1 - N)r^{-N} \int_0^r r^{N-1}h_0(t)dt + h_0(r) \\ &= (1 - N)r^{-1}J(h_0)(r) + h_0(r) = (1 - N)r^{-1}v_0(r) + h_0(r). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Since  $v_0(0) = 0$ , by (3.13),

$$v_0'(0) = (1 - N) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_0(r)}{r} + h_0(0) = (1 - N)v_0'(0) + h_0(0).$$

It implies that  $v_0'(0) = h_0(0)/N \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $v_0$  has only a simple zero  $r = 0$  in  $[0, 1]$ .

Now for  $1 < p < 2$ , from Lemma 3.2 and the continuity of  $J(h)$ , we can find a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $h_0$  in  $C^0[0, 1]$  such that

$$\text{the mapping } h \mapsto |J(h)|^{p^*-3}J(h) : V_1 \rightarrow L^1(0, 1) \text{ is continuous.} \tag{3.14}$$

For any  $h \in V_1, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2 \in C^0[0, 1]$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} DS_p(h + \delta\bar{h}_2)[\bar{h}_1] - DS_p(h)[\bar{h}_1] &= p^* \int_r^1 \left| \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} (h(t) + \delta\bar{h}_2(t)) dt \right|^{p^*-1} J(\bar{h}_1) ds - \int_r^1 \left| \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} h(t) dt \right|^{p^*-1} J(\bar{h}_1) ds \\ &= p^* \int_r^1 \left[ \left| \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} (h(t) + \delta\bar{h}_2(t)) dt \right|^{p^*-1} - \left| \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} h(t) dt \right|^{p^*-1} \right] J(\bar{h}_1) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$= p^*(p^* - 1) \int_r^1 |J(h + \theta\delta\bar{h}_2)|^{p^*-3} J(h + \theta\delta\bar{h}_2) \delta J(\bar{h}_2) J(\bar{h}_1) ds$$

with some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . By using (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{DS_p(h + \delta\bar{h}_2)[\bar{h}_1] - DS_p(h)[\bar{h}_1]}{\delta} \\ &= p^*(p^* - 1) \int_r^1 |J(h)|^{p^*-3} J(h) J(\bar{h}_2) J(\bar{h}_1) ds \\ &= p^*(p^* - 1) \int_r^1 |u(h)'|^{4-3p} J(h) J(\bar{h}_2) J(\bar{h}_1) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Since  $h_0(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ , we can choose a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $h_0$  such that  $h(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in [0, 1]$  and any  $h \in V_1$ . From  $u(h)'(r) = -\phi_{p'}(\int_0^r (t/r)^{N-1} h(t) dt)$ , there exist two constant numbers

$$C_1(h) = \left(\frac{1}{N} \min_{r \in [0,1]} h(r)\right)^{p^*} > 0, \quad C_2(h) = \left(\frac{1}{N} \max_{r \in [0,1]} h(r)\right)^{p^*} > 0$$

such that

$$C_1(h)r^{p^*} < |u(h)'(r)| \leq C_2(h)r^{p^*}, \quad r \in (0, 1].$$

It follows that, for any  $r \in [0, 1]$  and  $h \in V_1, \bar{h}_1, \bar{h}_2 \in C^0[0, 1]$

$$\left| \int_r^1 |u(h)'|^{4-3p} J(h) J(\bar{h}_2) J(\bar{h}_1) ds \right| \leq \int_r^1 |u(h)'|^{3-2p} \cdot |J(\bar{h}_2)| \cdot |J(\bar{h}_1)| ds < \infty \tag{3.16}$$

provided  $1 < p < 2$ . By (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we conclude that  $S_p : V_1 \rightarrow W^{1,1}(0, 1)$  is  $C^2$  if  $1 < p < 2$  and the equation (3.12) holds.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** We note that the operators  $S_p, J, \Phi_q$  and  $I$  defined in (3.8) are all positive operators in the sense that for any  $h \in C^0[0, 1]$  satisfying  $h(r) \geq 0$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , then  $K(h)(r) \geq 0$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$  with  $K = S_p, J, \Phi_q$  or  $I$ . Similarly from (3.9) and (3.12), for any  $h \in C^0[0, 1]$  satisfying  $h(r) \geq 0$  for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , the operators  $DS_p(h) : C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^1[0, 1]$  and  $D^2S_p(h) : C^0[0, 1] \times C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^1[0, 1]$  (if exists) are also positive if  $1 < p < 2$ .

We use a framework of nonlinear mappings to analyze the solutions of (3.1). Define  $F : [0, \infty) \times C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^0[0, 1]$  by

$$F(\lambda, u) := u - S_p(\lambda f(u)) = u - \lambda^{p^*} S_p(f(u)). \tag{3.17}$$

Then  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a positive solution of (3.1) if and only if  $F(\lambda_0, u_0) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $F : [0, \infty) \times C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^0[0, 1]$  is a nonlinear  $C^1$  mapping. Furthermore, suppose that  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a positive solution of (3.1). Then  $\lambda_0 > 0, u_0(r) > 0$  as  $r \in [0, 1)$ . Put  $h_0(r) = \lambda_0 f(u_0(r))$ . Then  $h_0(r) > 0$  as  $r \in [0, 1]$  by the assumption (H2). Hence  $S_p$  is  $C^2$  in a neighborhood of  $h_0 = \lambda_0 f(u_0)$  in  $C^0[0, 1]$  by Lemma 3.3, which implies that  $F$  is  $C^2$  in a neighborhood of  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  in  $(0, \infty) \times C^0[0, 1]$ . By standard calculation, at  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$ , for  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in C^0[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[\psi_1] &= \psi_1 - \lambda_0^{p^*} DS_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)\psi_1], \\ F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0)[\psi_1, \psi_2] &= -\lambda_0^{p^*} \{D^2 S_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)\psi_1, f'(u_0)\psi_2] \\ &\quad + DS_p(f(u_0))[f''(u_0)\psi_1\psi_2]\}, \\ F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) &= -p^* \lambda_0^{p^*-1} S_p(f(u_0)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since  $F$  is smooth, the set of solutions of (3.1) is locally a curve in  $(0, \infty) \times C^0[0, 1]$  near any nondegenerate positive solution. Next we explore the structure of the solution set of (3.1) near a degenerate positive solution  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$ . In that case, the null space  $N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$  is nonempty. Let  $w \in N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$  and  $w \neq 0$ . Then, by (3.10),  $w(r)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} (r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}w'(r))' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r))w(r) = 0, & r \in (0, 1), \\ w'(0) = w(1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

About the operators  $F$  and  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)$  we have the following properties.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $F : [0, \infty) \times C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^0[0, 1]$  be defined by (3.17) and let  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0) : C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^0[0, 1]$  be defined by (3.18), where  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a positive solution of  $F(\lambda, u) = 0$ . Recall  $X$  is as defined in (3.4).*

- (i) *If  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  and  $u \in X$ , then  $F(\lambda, u) \in X$ .*
- (ii) *If  $w \in C^0[0, 1]$  such that  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[w] = 0$ , then  $w \in X$ .*
- (iii) *If  $h \in X$  and if there exists  $\phi \in C^0[0, 1]$  such that  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[\phi] = h$ , then  $\phi \in X$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Suppose that  $u \in X$ , and  $F(\lambda, u) = g$ . By (3.17),  $g$  satisfies

$$u - S_p(\lambda f(u)) = g. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.7) we have

$$u(r) - g(r) = S_p(\lambda f(u))(r) = \int_r^1 \phi_{p'} \left[ \int_0^s \left(\frac{t}{s}\right)^{N-1} \lambda f(u(t)) dt \right] ds, \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$u'(r) - g'(r) = -\phi_{p'} \left[ \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} \lambda f(u(t)) dt \right]. \tag{3.22}$$

Clearly,  $g(1) = 0$  and  $g'(0) = 0$ , since  $u \in X$  and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} \lambda f(u(t)) dt = 0.$$

Moreover, by using L'Hospital's rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u'(r) - g'(r)}{r^{p^*}} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u''(r) - g''(r)}{p^* r^{p^*-1}} \\ &= - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{[r^{1-N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} \lambda f(u(t)) dt]^{p^*-1}}{r^{p^*-1}} \left[ \lambda f(u(r)) + \frac{1-N}{r^N} \int_0^r t^{N-1} \lambda f(u(t)) dt \right] \\ &= - \left( \frac{\lambda f(u(0))}{N} \right)^{p^*}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

From (3.23), we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u''(r) - g''(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = -p^* \left( \frac{\lambda f(u(0))}{N} \right)^{p^*}. \tag{3.24}$$

Combining (3.23), (3.24) with  $u \in X$ , we obtain that  $g \in X$ . Hence, the mapping  $F$  maps  $[0, \infty) \times X$  into the space  $X$ .

(ii) If  $w \in C^0[0, 1]$  such that  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[w] = 0$ , then

$$\lambda_0^{p^*} DS_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)w] = w. \tag{3.25}$$

By the definition of  $DS_p$ , it is easy to see that  $w \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1]$  and  $w(1) = 0$ .

We discuss the behavior of  $w'$  near  $r = 0$  by calculating

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{w'(r)}{u_0'(r)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r^{N-1} |u_0'(r)|^{p-2} w'(r)}{r^{N-1} |u_0'(r)|^{p-2} u_0'(r)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(r^{N-1} |u_0'(r)|^{p-2} w'(r))'}{(r^{N-1} |u_0'(r)|^{p-2} u_0'(r))'} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p^* f'(u_0(r)) w(r)}{f(u_0(r))} = \frac{p^* f'(u_0(0)) w(0)}{f(u_0(0))} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.2) yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{-p^*} w'(r) = - \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right)^{p^*} \frac{p^* f'(u_0(0)) w(0)}{f(u_0(0))}. \tag{3.26}$$

It implies that  $|w'(r)| \leq cr^{p^*}$  near the origin and  $w'(0) = 0$ .

Similarly, one can derive the behavior of  $w''$  near  $r = 0$  from the equation (3.19). Indeed from (3.19), we have

$$w'(r) = -\lambda_0 p^* |u'_0(r)|^{2-p} \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt, \quad r \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.27}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} w''(r) &= -\lambda_0 p^* \{ (2-p) |u'_0(r)|^{-p} u'_0(r) u''_0(r) \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt \\ &\quad + |u'_0(r)|^{2-p} f'(u_0(r)) w(r) + \frac{1-N}{r^N} |u'_0(r)|^{2-p} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt \} \\ &= -\lambda_0 p^* [(2-p) I_1(r) + I_2(r) + I_3(r)], \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(r) &= |u'_0(r)|^{-p} u'_0(r) u''_0(r) \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt, \\ I_2(r) &= |u'_0(r)|^{2-p} f'(u_0(r)) w(r), \\ I_3(r) &= \frac{1-N}{r^N} |u'_0(r)|^{2-p} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

for  $r \in (0, 1]$ . Then,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{w''(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = -\lambda_0 p^* \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left[ (2-p) \frac{I_1(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} + \frac{I_2(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} + \frac{I_3(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} \right]. \tag{3.30}$$

Note that  $u'_0(r) < 0$  in  $(0, 1]$  and  $p^* - 1 = p^*(2-p)$ . By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_1(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} &= - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u''_0(r) \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt}{r^{p^*-1} |u'_0(r)|^{p-1}} \\ &= p^* \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) w(t) dt}{r |r^{-p^*} u'_0(r)|^{p-1}} \\ &= \frac{p^*}{N} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*} f'(u_0(0)) w(0) \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{-1} \\ &= \frac{p^*}{N} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*(2-p)} f'(u_0(0)) w(0), \end{aligned}$$



and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_2(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{|u'_0(r)|^{2-p} f'(u_0(r))w(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = f'(u_0(0))w(0) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \frac{u'_0(r)}{r^{p^*}} \right|^{2-p} \\ &= \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*(2-p)} f'(u_0(0))w(0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_3(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = \frac{1-N}{N} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*(2-p)} f'(u_0(0))w(0).$$

By (3.30),

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{w''(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = -\lambda_0 \frac{p^{*2}}{N} \left[ \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right]^{p^*(2-p)} f'(u_0(0))w(0).$$

Therefore  $w$  lies in the space  $X$  defined in (3.4).

(iii) Let  $h \in X$ . If there exists  $\phi \in C[0, 1]$  such that  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[\phi] = h$ , then

$$\lambda_0^{p^*} DS_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)\phi] = \phi - h. \tag{3.31}$$

By the definition of  $DS_p$ , it is easy to see that  $\phi \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1]$  and  $\phi(1) = 0$  since  $h \in X$ . From (3.10), we rewrite (3.31) as

$$(r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}(\phi(r) - h(r)))' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r))\phi(r) = 0, \quad r \in (0, 1). \tag{3.32}$$

Using L'Hospital's rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi'(r) - h'(r)}{u'_0(r)} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}(\phi'(r) - h'(r))}{r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}u'_0(r)} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}(\phi'(r) - h'(r)))'}{(r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}u'_0(r))'} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{p^* f'(u_0(r))\phi(r)}{f(u_0(r))} = \frac{p^* f'(u_0(0))\phi(0)}{f(u_0(0))} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.2) yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{-p^*} (\phi'(r) - h'(r)) = - \left( \frac{\lambda_0}{N} f(u_0(0)) \right)^{p^*} \frac{p^* f'(u_0(0))\phi(0)}{f(u_0(0))}. \tag{3.33}$$

It implies that  $|\phi'(r)| \leq cr^{p^*}$  near the origin and  $\phi'(0) = 0$ , since  $h \in X$ . On the other hand, from (3.8), (3.9) and (3.31), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\phi''(r) - h''(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} \\ &= -p^* \lambda_0^{p^*} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left( \left| \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt \right|^{p^*-1} \int_0^r \left(\frac{t}{r}\right)^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) \phi(t) dt \right)'}{r^{p^*-1}} \\ &= -p^* \lambda_0^{p^*} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(1 - N)p^* Z_1(r) + (p^* - 1)Z_2(r) + Z_3(r)}{r^{p^*-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(r) &= r^{(1-N)p^*-1} \left( \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt \right)^{p^*-1} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) \phi(t) dt, \\ Z_2(r) &= r^{(1-N)p^*} \left( \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt \right)^{p^*-2} r^{N-1} f(u_0(r)) \int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) \phi(t) dt, \\ Z_3(r) &= r^{(1-N)p^*} \left( \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt \right)^{p^*-1} r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r)) \phi(r) \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

for  $r \in (0, 1]$ . Also by using L'Hospital's rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Z_1(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\left( \int_0^r t^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt \right)^{p^*-1} \int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) \phi(t) dt}{r^{Np^*}} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{\int_0^r t^{N-1} f(u_0(t)) dt}{r^N} \right)^{p^*-1} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_0^r t^{N-1} f'(u_0(t)) \phi(t) dt}{r^N} \\ &= \frac{f(u_0(0))^{p^*-1} f'(u_0(0)) \phi(0)}{N^{p^*}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Z_2(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{Z_3(r)}{r^{p^*-1}} = \frac{f(u_0(0))^{p^*-1} f'(u_0(0)) \phi(0)}{N^{p^*-1}}.$$

It follows that  $|\phi''(r)| \leq cr^{p^*-1}$  near the origin since  $h \in X$ . Hence,  $\phi \in X$ .  $\square$

Because of the results in Lemma 3.5, from now on, we restrict the domain of the mapping  $F$  to  $[0, \infty) \times X$ . Then Lemma 3.5 implies that  $F : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$ , and consequently the Fréchet derivatives also satisfy  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0) : X \rightarrow X$  and  $F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ .

The following non-oscillatory property of a nontrivial solution of the linearized equation plays a vital role in proving the exact multiplicity of positive solutions.

**Lemma 3.6.** Assume that  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $N \geq 4$ ,  $f(s)$  satisfies (H1)–(H3) and

(H4)

$$\frac{-(N - 4)(p - 1)}{N - p} \leq \frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)} \leq \frac{N(p - 1)}{N - p}, \quad \text{for } s \in [0, \infty). \tag{3.35}$$

Let  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  be a positive solution of (3.1), and let  $w$  be a nontrivial solution of (3.19). Then  $w$  can be chosen as positive in  $[0, 1)$  and  $w'(r) < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** We define two test functions to be  $v_1(r) = ru'_0(r) + p^*(N - p)u_0(r)$  and  $v_2(r) = r^{2-N}v_1(r)$  and a linear differential operator

$$L_{u_0}[v](r) := (r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}v'(r))' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r))v(r). \tag{3.36}$$

First, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} L_{u_0}[ru'_0] &= (r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}(ru'_0)')' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0)(ru'_0) \\ &= (r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u'_0 + r \cdot r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' + \lambda_0 p^* r^N f'(u_0)u'_0 \\ &= (r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u'_0)' + (r \cdot r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' + \lambda_0 p^* r^N f'(u_0)u'_0 \\ &= -\lambda_0 r^{N-1} f(u_0) + r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0 + r(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' \\ &\quad + \lambda_0 p^* r^N f'(u_0(r))u'_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

On the other hand, differentiating (3.1) with respect to  $r$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(p - 1)(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' \\ &= -\lambda_0(N - 1)r^{N-2} f(u_0) - \lambda_0 r^{N-1} f'(u_0)u'_0 - (N - 1)(r^{-1}r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' \\ &= -\lambda_0(N - 1)r^{N-2} f(u_0) - \lambda_0 r^{N-1} f'(u_0)u'_0 \\ &\quad + (N - 1)r^{-2}(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0) - (N - 1)r^{-1}(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' \\ &= -\lambda_0 r^{N-1} f'(u_0)u'_0 + (N - 1)r^{N-3}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0)' = -\lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0)u'_0 + (N - 1)p^* r^{N-3}|u'_0|^{p-2}u''_0. \tag{3.38}$$

Substituting (3.38) into (3.37), we get

$$L_{u_0}[ru'_0] = -\lambda_0 p^* pr^{N-1} f(u_0). \tag{3.39}$$

Similarly we can calculate  $L_{u_0}[p^*(N - p)u_0]$ . Then for  $r \in (0, 1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{u_0}[v_1] &= L_{u_0}[ru'_0 + p^*(N - p)u_0] \\ &= \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} [p^*(N - p)f'(u_0)u_0 - Nf(u_0)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Since  $1 < p < 2$  and  $f(u_0) > 0$ ,

$$v'_1(r) = ru''_0 + \frac{N - 1}{p - 1}u'_0 = p^*[(p - 1)ru''_0 + (N - 1)u'_0] = -\lambda_0 p^* \frac{rf(u_0)}{|u'_0|^{p-2}} < 0$$

for  $r \in (0, 1)$ . Note that

$$v_1(0) = p^*(N - p)u_0(0) > 0, \quad v_1(1) = u'_0(1) < 0.$$

Then there exists a unique zero  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $v_1(r_0) = 0$ , and

$$v_1(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (0, r_0), \quad v_1(r) < 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (r_0, 1). \tag{3.41}$$

Next we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} L_{u_0}[v_2] &= (r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}(r^{2-N}v_1)')' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0)(r^{2-N}v_1) \\ &= (2 - N)(|u'_0|^{p-2}v_1)' + [r^{2-N}(r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}v'_1)]' + \lambda_0 p^* r f'(u_0)v_1 \\ &= (2 - N)(p - 2)|u'_0|^{p-4}u'_0v_1 + 2(2 - N)|u'_0|^{p-2}v'_1 \\ &\quad + r^{2-N}[\lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1}(p^*(N - p)f'(u_0)u_0 - Nf(u_0))] \\ &= (2 - N)(p - 2)|u'_0|^{p-4}u'_0v_1 - 2(2 - N)\lambda_0 p^* r f(u_0) \\ &\quad + \lambda_0 p^* r [p^*(N - p)f'(u_0)u_0 - Nf(u_0)] \\ &= (2 - N)(p - 2)|u'_0|^{p-4}u'_0v_1 \\ &\quad + \lambda_0 p^* r [p^*(N - p)f'(u_0)u_0 + (N - 4)f(u_0)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Combining (3.40)–(3.42) and the assumption (H4), we have

$$L_{u_0}[v_1(r)] = g_1(r) \leq 0, \quad v_1(r) > 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (0, r_0), \tag{3.43}$$

and

$$L_{u_0}[v_2(r)] = g_2(r) \geq 0, \quad v_2(r) < 0 \quad \text{for } r \in (r_0, 1). \tag{3.44}$$

Now we prove that  $w$  does not have any zeros in  $[0, r_0)$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $w(0) > 0$ . On the contrary, suppose  $r_1 \in (0, r_0)$  is the smallest positive zero of  $w$  in  $(0, r_0)$ . Then  $w(r) > 0$  in  $(0, r_1)$  and  $w(r_1) = 0$ . Multiplying the equation in (3.43) by  $w$ , multiplying (3.19) by  $v_1$ , subtracting and integrating on the interval  $(0, r_1)$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^{r_1} (L_{u_0}[v_1]w - L_{u_0}[w]v_1) dr = \int_0^{r_1} g_1 w dr \leq 0. \tag{3.45}$$

But on the other hand, (3.45) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{r_1} (L_{u_0}[v_1]w - L_{u_0}[w]v_1) dr &= r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}(wv'_1 - v_1w') \Big|_0^{r_1} \\ &= -r_1^{N-1}|u'_0(r_1)|^{p-2}v_1(r_1)w'(r_1) > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

which is a contradiction. Next we show  $w$  does not have any zeros in  $[r_0, 1)$  by comparing  $w$  with  $v_2$ . On the contrary, suppose  $r_2 \in [r_0, 1)$  is the largest positive zero of  $w$  in  $[r_0, 1)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $w(r) > 0$  in  $[r_2, 1)$  and  $w(r_2) = w(1) = 0$ . Multiplying the equation in (3.44) by  $w$ , multiplying (3.19) by  $v_2$ , subtracting the two equations, and integrating over the interval  $[r_2, 1)$ , we have

$$\int_{r_2}^1 (L_{u_0}[v_2]w - L_{u_0}[w]v_2) dr = \int_{r_2}^1 g_2 w dr \geq 0. \tag{3.47}$$

However (3.47) is also equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{r_2}^1 (L_{u_0}[v_2]w - L_{u_0}[w]v_2) dr \\ &= r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}(wv'_2 - v_2w') \Big|_{r_2}^1 \\ &= -\left[ v_2(1)|u'_0(1)|^{p-2}w'(1) - v_2(r_2)r_2^{N-1}|u'_0(r_2)|^{p-2}w'(r_2) \right] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence,  $w$  can be chosen as positive in  $[0, 1)$ . Moreover, from (3.19) and condition (H3),  $w' < 0$  for  $r \in (0, 1]$ . □

Now we are in a position to apply the saddle-node bifurcation theorem (Theorem 2.6) near a degenerate positive solution of (3.1).

**Lemma 3.7.** Assume that  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $N \geq 4$ , and  $f(s)$  satisfies (H1)–(H4). Let  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  be a positive solution of (3.1) such that (3.19) has a nontrivial solution  $w$  which is chosen as positive as in Lemma 3.6. Then the solutions of (3.1) near  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  form a curve  $\{(\lambda(s), u(s)) : s \in (-\epsilon, +\epsilon)\}$ , where  $\lambda : (-\epsilon, +\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^2$  function such that  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda'(0) = 0$ , and  $\lambda''(0) < 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be the space defined in (3.4), and define  $F : [0, \infty) \times X \rightarrow X$  as in (3.17) (the validity of  $F(\lambda, \cdot) : X \rightarrow X$  is shown in Lemma 3.5). Suppose that  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a positive solution of (3.1) such that (3.19) has a nontrivial solution  $w$ , then  $w \in X$  from Lemma 3.5 (ii) and  $w$

can be chosen as positive from [Lemma 3.6](#). From the uniqueness of solution to the initial value problem [\(3.19\)](#), we know that

$$N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \{tw : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \text{span}\{w\}. \tag{3.49}$$

For a bifurcation analysis, we need an integral characterization of the range space  $R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$ . Let  $X^*$  be the dual space of  $X$ , and define  $l \in X^*$  by

$$\langle l, h \rangle = \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r))w(r)h(r)dr, \quad \text{for } h \in X. \tag{3.50}$$

We will prove that

$$R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \{h \in X : \langle l, h \rangle = 0\}.$$

Suppose that  $h \in R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$ . Since  $h \in X$ , then  $h \in C^1[0, 1] \cap C^2(0, 1]$  satisfies

$$h'(0) = h(1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad |h'(r)| \leq cr^{p^*}, \quad |h''(r)| \leq \bar{c}r^{p^*-1}, \quad r \in (0, 1]. \tag{3.51}$$

Moreover there exists a  $\psi \in X$  such that  $h = F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[\psi]$ , i.e.

$$\lambda_0^{p^*} DS_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)\psi] = \psi - h. \tag{3.52}$$

From [\(3.10\)](#), we obtain that

$$(r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}(\psi(r) - h(r))')' + \lambda_0 p^* r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r))\psi(r) = 0, \quad r \in (0, 1). \tag{3.53}$$

Note that equation [\(3.53\)](#) can be written as

$$L_{u_0}[\psi](r) = (r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}h'(r))', \quad r \in (0, 1), \tag{3.54}$$

where  $L_{u_0}$  is defined in [\(3.36\)](#). Multiplying [\(3.54\)](#) by  $w$ , [\(3.19\)](#) by  $\psi$ , subtracting and integrating on  $(0, 1)$ , one has

$$\int_0^1 (wL_{u_0}[\psi] - \psi L_{u_0}[w])dr = \int_0^1 (r^{N-1}|u'_0(r)|^{p-2}h'(r))'w(r)dr. \tag{3.55}$$

By using integral by parts on the right hand side of [\(3.55\)](#), we get

$$\int_0^1 (r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}h')'wdr = r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}h'w \Big|_0^1 - \int_0^1 r^{N-1}|u'_0|^{p-2}h'w'dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= - \int_0^1 r^{N-1} |u'_0|^{p-2} w' dh \\
 &= -r^{N-1} |u'_0|^{p-2} w' h \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (r^{N-1} |u'_0|^{p-2} w')' h dr \\
 &= -\lambda^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r)) w(r) h(r) dr \tag{3.56}
 \end{aligned}$$

since  $h(1) = 0$  and  $|h'(r)| \leq cr^{p^*}$  near the origin. For the left hand side of (3.55), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 (w L_{u_0}[\psi] - \psi L_{u_0}[w]) dr &= r^{N-1} |u'_0(r)|^{p-2} [\psi'(r) w(r) - w'(r) \psi(r)] \Big|_0^1 \\
 &= - \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{N-1} |u'_0(r)|^{p-2} \psi'(r) w(r) \\
 &\quad + \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} r^{N-1} |u'_0(r)|^{p-2} w'(r) \psi(r) = 0. \tag{3.57}
 \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is obtained by using the precise behavior of  $u_0(r)$ ,  $w(r)$  and  $\psi(r)$  near the origin, i.e., (3.2) and (3.26). Combining (3.55), (3.56) and (3.57), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r)) w(r) h(r) dr = 0. \tag{3.58}$$

Hence  $R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) \subseteq \{h \in X : \langle l, h \rangle = 0\}$ , where  $l$  is defined in (3.50).

Note that  $w \in X$  satisfies

$$\langle l, w \rangle = \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0(r)) w^2(r) dr > 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Thus,

$$w \notin R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)). \tag{3.60}$$

From the definition of  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)$  and Lemma 3.1, as a mapping from  $C^0[0, 1]$  to  $C^0[0, 1]$ ,  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a compact perturbation of the identity map on  $C^0[0, 1]$ . Therefore

$$\dim N(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \text{codim } R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = 1 \tag{3.61}$$

holds when  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is considered as a mapping  $C^0[0, 1] \rightarrow C^0[0, 1]$ .

We claim that (3.61) also holds for  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0) : X \rightarrow X$ . For that purpose, we clarify the definitions of  $F_u$  on different spaces to be

$$L_X = F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)|_X, \quad L_C = F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)|_{C^0[0,1]}. \tag{3.62}$$

Then (3.61) holds for  $C^0[0, 1]$  implies that  $\dim N(L_C) = \text{codim } R(L_C) = 1$ . From Lemma 3.5 (ii), we have  $N(L_C) = N(L_X) = \text{span}\{w\}$ . So it remains to prove that  $\text{codim } R(L_X) = 1$ . From (3.60), we know that  $\text{codim } R(L_X) \geq 1$ . So we need to show that  $\text{codim } R(L_X) \leq 1$ . On the contrary, suppose  $\text{codim } R(L_X) \geq 2$ . Since  $\text{codim } R(L_C) = 1$ , there exists some  $q \in X$  such that  $q \in R(L_C)$  but  $q \notin R(L_X)$ . Hence there exists  $\vartheta \in C^0[0, 1] \setminus X$  such that  $F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)[\vartheta] = q$ , which contradicts Lemma 3.5 (iii). Therefore  $\text{codim } R(L_X) = \text{codim } R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = 1$ , and consequently  $R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0)) = \{h \in X : \langle l, h \rangle = 0\}$ . This shows that the condition (1) in Theorem 2.6 is satisfied.

The assumptions (H2) and (H3) imply that  $f(u_0(r)) > 0$ ,  $f'(u_0(r)) > 0$  and  $f''(u_0(r)) > 0$  pointwisely for  $r \in [0, 1]$ , and from Remark 3.4, the operator  $S_p$  maps positive functions to positive functions, then from (3.18), we obtain that

$$\langle l, F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) \rangle = -p^* \lambda_0^{p^*-1} \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0) w S_p(f(u_0)) dr < 0, \tag{3.63}$$

which implies that  $F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) \notin R(F_u(\lambda_0, u_0))$ , thus the condition (2) in Theorem 2.6 is also satisfied. Therefore, from Theorem 2.6, the solutions of (3.1) near  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  form a curve  $\{(\lambda(s), u(s)) : s \in (-\epsilon, +\epsilon)\}$ , where  $\lambda : (-\epsilon, +\epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^1$  function such that  $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$ ,  $\lambda'(0) = 0$ . Moreover since  $f$  is  $C^2$ , then from (3.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l, F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0)[w, w] \rangle &= \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0) w F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0)[w, w] dr \\ &= -\lambda_0^{p^*} (p - 1) \left\{ \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0) w D^2 S_p(f(u_0))[f'(u_0)w, f'(u_0)w] dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 r^{N-1} f'(u_0) w D S_p(f(u_0))[f''(u_0)w^2] dr \right\} \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $f'(u_0) > 0$ ,  $f''(u_0) > 0$ ,  $w > 0$ , and from Remark 3.4,  $DS_p$  and  $D^2S_p$  are positive operators when  $1 < p < 2$ . From Theorem 2.6, we obtain that

$$\lambda''(0) = -\frac{\langle l, F_{uu}(\lambda_0, u_0)[w, w] \rangle}{\langle l, F_\lambda(\lambda_0, u_0) \rangle} < 0. \quad \square \tag{3.64}$$



**Lemma 3.7** shows that if  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  is a degenerate positive solution of (3.1), then all positive solutions of (3.1) near  $(\lambda_0, u_0)$  form a parabola-like curve, and the turning direction of the curve is to the left.

To complete the proof of global bifurcation diagram, we prove that the set of all positive solutions of (3.1) can be parameterized by the initial value  $u(0)$  by using a well-known scaling argument.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Assume that  $p > 1$ ,  $f(s) > 0$  and  $f(s)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous for  $s \in [0, \infty)$ . Then for each  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists at most one positive solution  $(\lambda, u)$  of problem (3.1) such that  $u(0) = \alpha$ .*

**Proof.** Assume on the contrary that there are two positive solutions  $(\lambda_1, u_1)$  and  $(\lambda_2, u_2)$  to problem (3.1) such that  $u_1(0) = u_2(0) = \alpha$ . Clearly,  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  by uniqueness for the initial value problem (see, e.g., [62, Theorem 4]). Then  $u_1(\lambda_1^{-1/p}x)$  and  $u_2(\lambda_2^{-1/p}x)$  are both solutions of the same initial value problem

$$(x^{N-1}\varphi_p(v'(x)))' + x^{N-1}f(v(x)) = 0, \quad v(0) = \alpha, \quad v'(0) = 0,$$

and hence  $u_1(\lambda_1^{-1/p}x) = u_2(\lambda_2^{-1/p}x)$ . This is impossible, since the first function vanishes at  $x = \lambda_1^{1/p}$ , while the second one vanishes at  $x = \lambda_2^{1/p}$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main result on the exact multiplicity of positive solutions of (3.1).

**Theorem 3.9.** *Assume that  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $N \geq 4$ , and  $f(s)$  satisfies (H1)–(H4). Then*

1. *There exists  $\lambda^* > 0$  such that (3.1) has no positive solution for  $\lambda > \lambda^*$ , has exactly one positive solution for  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , and has exactly two positive solutions for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ .*
2. *All positive solutions of (3.1) lie on a single smooth solution curve  $\Sigma$  which has two branches denoted by  $\Sigma^+ = \{(\lambda, u_+(\lambda)) : 0 < \lambda < \lambda^*\}$  (the upper branch) and  $\Sigma^- = \{(\lambda, u_-(\lambda)) : 0 < \lambda \leq \lambda^*\}$  (the lower branch). Moreover, for  $0 < \lambda < \lambda^*$ ,  $u_-(\lambda)(r) < u_+(\lambda)(r)$  for  $r \in [0, 1)$ ,*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} u_-(\lambda) = 0, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_+(\lambda)\|_\infty = \infty, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow (\lambda^*)^-} u_+(\lambda) = u_-(\lambda^*), \quad (3.65)$$

*and there is a unique turning point  $(\lambda^*, u_-(\lambda^*))$  on the curve  $\Sigma$  where the curve bends to the left.*

**Proof.** When  $\lambda = 0$ ,  $u \equiv 0$  is the unique solution of (3.1), and  $F_u(0, 0) : X \rightarrow X$  is the identity map. Hence by the implicit function theorem, there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and a  $C^1$  function  $u_- : (0, \epsilon) \rightarrow X$ , such that  $F(\lambda, u_-(\lambda)) = 0$  for  $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon)$ . That is, there exists a solution curve bifurcating from  $(0, 0)$  and the curve continues to the right. We denote this curve by  $\Sigma^-$  and extend  $\Sigma^-$  to the right as far as possible. Define

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{\lambda > 0 : F(s, u_-(s)) = 0, F_u(s, u_-(s)) \text{ is nondegenerate for } s \in (0, \lambda)\}.$$

We have shown that  $\lambda^* > 0$ . From Lemma 2.2, problem (3.1) has no positive solutions for large  $\lambda > 0$ . Hence  $\lambda^* < \infty$ . From Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.4,  $u_-(\lambda)$  is bounded in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  for

$\lambda \in [\varepsilon, \lambda^*)$ . Hence we have, subject to a subsequence,  $u_-(\lambda) \rightarrow u_*$  in  $C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . From elliptic regularity theory,  $u_* \in C^{1,\beta}(\overline{\Omega})$ , and it is a positive solution of (3.1) with  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ . We shall still label  $u_*$  by  $u_-(\lambda^*)$ , by continuity. From the definition of  $\lambda^*$ , the solution  $u_-(\lambda^*)$  is necessarily degenerate. From Lemma 3.7, the solutions of (3.1) near  $(\lambda^*, u_-(\lambda^*))$  form a curve  $\{(\lambda(s), u(s)) : s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$  such that  $\lambda(0) = \lambda^*$ ,  $\lambda'(0) = 0$  and  $\lambda''(0) < 0$ . Thus  $(\lambda^*, u_-(\lambda^*))$  is a turning point of the solution curve where the curve bends to the left. So for  $\lambda \in (\lambda^* - \delta, \lambda^*)$ , (3.1) has exactly two solutions near the turning point  $(\lambda^*, u_-(\lambda^*))$ . We name the solution other than  $u_-(\lambda)$  to be  $u_+(\lambda)$ . Then  $\Sigma^+ = \{(\lambda, u_+(\lambda)) : \lambda \in (\lambda^* - \delta, \lambda^*)\}$  is also a smooth curve. We extend this curve further left. Then there is no other degenerate solution on that curve since at any degenerate solution  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u})$ , one can apply Lemma 3.7 to conclude that the solution set near  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u})$  is a curve  $\{(\tilde{\lambda}(s), \tilde{u}(s)) : s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)\}$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}(0) = \tilde{\lambda}$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}'(0) = 0$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}''(0) < 0$ , which contradicts with the assumption that  $\Sigma^+$  approaches  $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u})$  from the right. Moreover Lemma 2.4 implies that the solution  $u_+(\lambda)$  is bounded for all  $\lambda \in [\varepsilon, \lambda^*)$  where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is an arbitrary small positive number. Hence  $\Sigma^+$  cannot blow up at a positive  $\hat{\lambda} > 0$  so that  $\|u_+(\lambda)\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}^+$ . Therefore  $\Sigma^+$  can be extended to the value  $\lambda = 0$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_+(\lambda)\|_\infty < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} u_+(\lambda)$  exists and it is a solution of (3.1) with  $\lambda = 0$ . But the unique solution of (3.1) when  $\lambda = 0$  is  $u \equiv 0$ , and from the implicit function theorem, the only solution near  $u \equiv 0$  when  $\lambda$  is near 0 is  $u_-(\lambda)$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we must have  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0^+} \|u_+(\lambda)\|_\infty = \infty$ . Finally Lemma 3.8 ensures that all positive solutions of (3.1) lie on  $\Sigma = \Sigma^+ \cup \Sigma^-$ , since (3.65) has been proved.  $\square$

Theorem 3.9 is a natural extension of Theorem 6.21 in [40], where a similar result was obtained for  $p = 2$ . To conclude the paper, we point out that the following functions satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.9: (i)  $f(u) = c + u^q$ , (ii)  $f(u) = (c + u)^q$ , where  $1 < p < 2$ ,  $N \geq 4$ ,  $c > 0$  and  $1 < q \leq \frac{N(p-1)}{N-p}$ .

### Acknowledgments

We thank the anonymous reviewer for very careful reading and helpful comments. This work was completed when the first two authors visited College of William and Mary in 2013–2014, and they would like to thank CWM for warm hospitality.

### References

- [1] B. Kawohl, On a family of torsional creep problems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 410 (1990) 1–22.
- [2] P. Lindqvist, Notes on the  $p$ -Laplace equation, Report. University of Jyväskylä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 102, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, 2006.
- [3] M.-C. Pélissier, L. Reynaud, Étude d'un modèle mathématique d'écoulement de glacier, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* 279 (1974) 531–534.
- [4] A. Ambrosetti, J. Garcia Azorero, I. Peral, Multiplicity results for some nonlinear elliptic equations, *J. Funct. Anal.* 137 (1996) 219–242.
- [5] C. Azizieh, P. Clément, A priori estimates and continuation methods for positive solutions of  $p$ -Laplace equations, *J. Differential Equations* 179 (2002) 213–245.
- [6] F. Brock, L. Iturriaga, P. Ubilla, A multiplicity result for the  $p$ -Laplacian involving a parameter, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 9 (2008) 1371–1386.
- [7] D. Castorina, P. Esposito, B. Sciunzi, Degenerate elliptic equations with singular nonlinearities, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 34 (2009) 279–306.

- [8] A. wiszewski, M. Maciejewski, Positive stationary solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian problems with nonpositive perturbation, *J. Differential Equations* 254 (2013) 1120–1136.
- [9] P. Drabek, S.B. Robinson, Resonance problems for the  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Funct. Anal.* 169 (1999) 189–200.
- [10] C.-G. Kim, J.-P. Shi, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions to a quasilinear elliptic equation with strong Allee effect growth rate, *Results Math.* 64 (2013) 165–173.
- [11] C.-G. Kim, J.-P. Shi, Multiple positive solutions for  $p$ -Laplacian equation with weak Allee effect growth rate, *Differential Integral Equations* 26 (2013) 707–720.
- [12] D. Motreanu, V.V. Motreanu, N.S. Papageorgiou, A multiplicity theorem for problems with the  $p$ -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (2008) 1016–1027.
- [13] S. Takeuchi, Multiplicity result for a degenerate elliptic equation with logistic reaction, *J. Differential Equations* 173 (2001) 138–144.
- [14] J. Cossio, S. Herron, C. Velez, Infinitely many radial solutions for a  $p$ -Laplacian problem  $p$ -superlinear at the origin, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 376 (2011) 741–749.
- [15] M. del Pino, P. Drabek, R. Manasevich, The Fredholm alternative at the first eigenvalue for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Differential Equations* 151 (1999) 386–419.
- [16] M. Garca-Huidobro, R. Manasevich, J.R. Ward, Positive solutions for equations and systems with  $p$ -Laplace-like operators, *Adv. Differential Equations* 14 (2009) 401–432.
- [17] J. Garca-Melian, J. Sabina de Lis, A local bifurcation theorem for degenerate elliptic equations with radial symmetry, *J. Differential Equations* 179 (2002) 27–43.
- [18] D.D. Hai, K. Schmitt, R. Shivaji, Positive solutions of quasilinear boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 217 (1998) 672–686.
- [19] C.-G. Kim, E.K. Lee, Y.-H. Lee, Existence of the second positive radial solution for a  $p$ -Laplacian problem, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011) 3743–3750.
- [20] P. Drabek, J. Hernandez, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 44 (2001) 189–204.
- [21] D.D. Hai, Uniqueness of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 69 (2008) 2720–2732.
- [22] D. Castorina, Uniqueness of positive radial solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations in an annulus, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010) 2195–2203.
- [23] L. Erbe, M.-X. Tang, Uniqueness theorems for positive radial solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations in a ball, *J. Differential Equations* 138 (1997) 351–379.
- [24] B. Franchi, E. Lanconelli, J. Serrin, Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of quasilinear equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , *Adv. Math.* 118 (1996) 177–243.
- [25] P. Korman, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a class of  $p$ -Laplace equations on a ball, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 11 (2011) 875–888.
- [26] J. Serrin, M.-X. Tang, Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 49 (2000) 897–923.
- [27] M.-X. Tang, Uniqueness and global structure of positive radial solutions for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 26 (2001) 909–938.
- [28] A. Aftalion, F. Pacella, Uniqueness and nondegeneracy for some nonlinear elliptic problems in a ball, *J. Differential Equations* 195 (2003) 380–397.
- [29] A. Aftalion, F. Pacella, Morse index and uniqueness for positive solutions of radial  $p$ -Laplace equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (2004) 4255–4272.
- [30] J.-G. Cheng, Uniqueness results for the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 311 (2005) 381–388.
- [31] J.I. Daz, J. Hernandez, F.J. Mancebo, Branches of positive and free boundary solutions for some singular quasilinear elliptic problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 352 (2009) 449–474.
- [32] K.-C. Hung, S.-H. Wang, A complete classification of bifurcation diagrams of classes of multiparameter  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value problems, *J. Differential Equations* 246 (2009) 1568–1599.
- [33] K.-C. Hung, S.-H. Wang, Classification and evolution of bifurcation curves for a multiparameter  $p$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011) 3589–3598.
- [34] S.-H. Wang, T.-S. Yeh, Exact multiplicity and ordering properties of positive solutions of a  $p$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 287 (2003) 380–398.
- [35] I. Sim, S. Tanaka, The exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of two-point boundary-value problems with the one-dimensional  $p$ -Laplacian, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 144 (2014) 187–203.
- [36] P. Korman, *Global Solution Curves for Semilinear Elliptic Equations*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

- [37] P. Korman, Y. Li, T.-C. Ouyang, Exact multiplicity results for boundary value problems with nonlinearities generalising cubic, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 126 (1996) 599–616.
- [38] P. Korman, Y. Li, T.-C. Ouyang, An exact multiplicity result for a class of semilinear equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 22 (1997) 661–684.
- [39] T.-C. Ouyang, J.-P. Shi, Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear problems, *J. Differential Equations* 146 (1998) 121–156.
- [40] T.-C. Ouyang, J.-P. Shi, Exact multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of semilinear problem. II, *J. Differential Equations* 158 (1999) 94–151.
- [41] M.G. Crandall, P.H. Rabinowitz, Bifurcation, perturbation of simple eigenvalues and linearized stability, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 52 (1973) 161–180.
- [42] P.A. Binding, B.P. Rynne, The spectrum of the periodic  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Differential Equations* 235 (2007) 199–218.
- [43] F. Genoud, Bifurcation along curves for the  $p$ -Laplacian with radial symmetry, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2012) 124.
- [44] B.P. Rynne, A global curve of stable, positive solutions for a  $p$ -Laplacian problem, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* (2010) 58.
- [45] M.G. Crandall, P.H. Rabinowitz, Some continuation and variational methods for positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 58 (1975) 207–218.
- [46] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations, *Acta Math.* 111 (1964) 247–302.
- [47] I. Peral, Some results on quasilinear elliptic equations: growth versus shape, in: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications to Differential Equations*, Trieste, 1997, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998, pp. 153–202.
- [48] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations* 51 (1984) 126–150.
- [49] G.M. Lieberman, Boundary regularity for solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 12 (1988) 1203–1219.
- [50] J.L. Vázquez, A strong maximum principle for some quasilinear elliptic equations, *Appl. Math. Optim.* 12 (1984) 191–202.
- [51] D.-J. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, vol. 5: *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [52] A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du  $p$ -laplacien avec poids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 305 (1987) 725–728.
- [53] W. Allegretto, Sturm theorems for degenerate elliptic equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001) 3031–3035.
- [54] W. Allegretto, Y.-X. Huang, A Picone’s identity for the  $p$ -Laplacian and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 32 (1998) 819–830.
- [55] Y.-H. Du, *Order Structure and Topological Methods in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 1. *Maximum Principles and Applications*, Ser. Partial Differ. Equ. Appl., World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [56] F. Brock, Continuous rearrangement and symmetry of solutions of elliptic problems, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* 110 (2000) 157–204.
- [57] J. Fleckinger, W. Reichel, Global solution branches for  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 62 (2005) 53–70.
- [58] È. Mitidieri, S.I. Pokhozhaev, Absence of global positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic inequalities, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* 359 (1998) 456–460.
- [59] M. Cuesta, P. Takáč, A strong comparison principle for positive solutions of degenerate elliptic equations, *Differential Integral Equations* 13 (2000) 721–746.
- [60] B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, L. Nirenberg, Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 68 (1979) 209–243.
- [61] L. Damascelli, F. Pacella, Monotonicity and symmetry of solutions of  $p$ -Laplace equations,  $1 < p < 2$ , via the moving plane method, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 26 (1998) 689–707.
- [62] W. Reichel, W. Walter, Radial solutions of equations and inequalities involving the  $p$ -Laplacian, *J. Inequal. Appl.* 1 (1997) 47–71.