



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

Global stability in a diffusive Holling–Tanner predator–prey model[☆]

Shanshan Chen^{a,b}, Junping Shi^{b,c,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150001, PR China

^b Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA

^c School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030006, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 February 2011

Received in revised form 5 August 2011

Accepted 27 September 2011

Keywords:

Reaction–diffusion system

Predator–prey

Holling–Tanner

Global stability

ABSTRACT

A diffusive Holling–Tanner predator–prey model with no-flux boundary condition is considered, and it is proved that the unique constant equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable under a new simpler parameter condition.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this work, we revisit a reaction–diffusion Holling–Tanner predator–prey model in the form given in [1]:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m+u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v(x, t)}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \geq (\neq) 0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ represent the density of prey and predators; respectively, $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and Ω is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$; d_1, d_2 are the diffusion coefficients of prey and predators respectively; and parameters a, m, b and γ are all positive constants; a no-flux boundary condition is imposed on $\partial\Omega$ so that the ecosystem is closed to the exterior environment.

The (non-spatial) kinetic equation of system (1.1) was first proposed by Tanner [2] and May [3], while Leslie [4] and Leslie and Gower [5] consider a similar equation with unbounded predation rate. In (1.1), the predator functional response is of Holling type II as in Holling [6]. The Holling–Tanner system is regarded as one of the prototypical predator–prey models in several classical mathematical biology books; see, for example, May [3, p. 84] and Murray [7, pp. 88–94].

[☆] This work was partially supported by a grant from China Scholarship Council, NSF grant DMS-1022648.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Mathematics, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, VA 23187-8795, USA.
E-mail address: shij@math.wm.edu (J. Shi).

Hsu and Huang [8] dealt with the question of global stability of the positive equilibrium in a class of predator–prey systems including the ODE version of system (1.1) with certain conditions on the parameters, and in [9], they proved the uniqueness of the limit cycle when the unique positive equilibrium is unstable. For diffusive system (1.1), Peng and Wang [10] studied the existence/nonexistence of positive steady state solutions, and they [1] also proved a result on the global stability of the positive constant steady state. Li et al. [11] considered the Turing and Hopf bifurcations in (1.1). Related work on a similar diffusive Leslie–Gower system can also be found in Du and Hsu [12], Chen et al. [13].

In this note, we prove a new global stability result for the constant positive equilibrium by using a comparison method, and our result significantly improves the earlier one given in [10] which was established with the Lyapunov method.

2. The main results

It is easy to verify that system (1.1) has a unique positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) , where

$$u_* = \frac{1}{2}(a - m + b\gamma + \sqrt{(a - m - b\gamma)^2 + 4am}), \quad v = b\gamma u_*.$$

We recall the following known result from [1].

Theorem 2.1. Assume that the parameters $m, a, b, \gamma, d_1, d_2$ are all positive. Then for system (1.1):

1. The positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is locally asymptotically stable if

$$m^2 + 2(a + b\gamma)m + a^2 - 2ab\gamma \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

2. The positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if

$$m > b\gamma, \quad \text{and} \quad (m + K)[b\gamma + 2(m + u_* + K - a)] > (a + m)b\gamma, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$K = \frac{1}{2} \left(a - m + \sqrt{(a - m)^2 + 4a(m - b\gamma)} \right).$$

In [1], the local stability was established through a standard linearization procedure, and the global stability was proved by using a Lyapunov functional. In this note, we prove the global stability under only the condition $m > b\gamma$ but without the second condition in (2.2); thus our result improves on the one in [1]. Our proof is based on the upper and lower solution method in [14,15]. Our main result is stated as:

Theorem 2.2. Assume that the parameters $m, a, b, \gamma, d_1, d_2$ are all positive. Then for system (1.1), the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable, that is, for any initial values $u_0(x) > 0, v_0(x) \geq (\neq)0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) = u_*, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t, x) = v_*, \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

if

$$m > b\gamma. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. It is well known that if $c > 0$, and $w(x, t) > 0$ satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = D\Delta w + w(c - w), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial w(t, x)}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ w(x, 0) \geq (\neq)0, & x \in \Omega, \end{cases}$$

then $w(x, t) \rightarrow c$ uniformly for $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Since (2.3) holds, we can choose an ϵ_0 satisfying

$$0 < \epsilon_0 < \frac{b\gamma(m - b\gamma)a}{b\gamma(b\gamma + 1) + mb\gamma + m}. \tag{2.4}$$

Because $u(x, t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= d_1\Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m + u} \\ &\leq d_1\Delta u + au - u^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the Neumann boundary condition, then from comparison principle of parabolic equations, there exists t_1 such that for any $t > t_1$, $u(x, t) \leq \bar{c}_1$, where $\bar{c}_1 = a + \epsilon_0$. This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u} \\ &\leq d_2 \Delta v + v \left(b - \frac{v}{\gamma(a + \epsilon_0)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $t > t_1$. Hence there exists $t_2 > t_1$ such that for any $t > t_2$, $v(x, t) \leq \bar{c}_2$, where $\bar{c}_2 = b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0$. Again this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{uv}{m + u} \\ &\geq d_1 \Delta u + au - u^2 - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} u, \end{aligned}$$

for $t > t_2$. Since $m > b\gamma$, then for ϵ_0 chosen as in (2.4),

$$a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0 > 0.$$

Hence there exists $t_3 > t_2$ such that for any $t > t_3$, $u(x, t) \geq \underline{c}_1 > 0$, where

$$\underline{c}_1 = a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0.$$

Finally we apply the lower bound of u to the equation of v , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= d_2 \Delta v + bv - \frac{v^2}{\gamma u} \\ &\geq d_2 \Delta v + v \left(b - \frac{v}{\gamma \underline{c}_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $t > t_3$. Since for the ϵ_0 chosen above in (2.4),

$$b\gamma \left(a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0 \right) - \epsilon_0 > 0,$$

then there exists $t_4 > t_3$ such that for any $t > t_4$, $v(x, t) \geq \underline{c}_2 > 0$, where

$$\underline{c}_2 = b\gamma \left(a - \frac{b\gamma(a + \epsilon_0) + \epsilon_0}{m} - \epsilon_0 \right) - \epsilon_0.$$

Therefore for $t > t_4$ we obtain that

$$\underline{c}_1 \leq u(x, t) \leq \bar{c}_1, \quad \underline{c}_2 \leq v(x, t) \leq \bar{c}_2,$$

and $\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq a - \bar{c}_1 - \frac{\underline{c}_2}{m + \bar{c}_1}, & 0 &\geq b - \frac{\bar{c}_2}{\gamma \bar{c}_1}, \\ 0 &\leq a - \underline{c}_1 - \frac{\bar{c}_2}{m + \underline{c}_1}, & 0 &\leq b - \frac{\underline{c}_2}{\gamma \underline{c}_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The inequalities (2.5) show that (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2) and $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2)$ are a pair of coupled upper and lower solutions of system (1.1) as in the definition in [14,15] (see also [16]), as the nonlinearities in (1.1) are mixed quasimonotone. It is clear that there exists $K > 0$ such that for any $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \leq (u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2) \leq (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| au_1 - u_1^2 - \frac{u_1 v_1}{m + u_1} - au_2 + u_2^2 + \frac{u_2 v_2}{m + u_2} \right| &\leq K(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|), \\ \left| bv_1 - \frac{v_1^2}{\gamma u_1} - bv_2 + \frac{v_2^2}{\gamma u_2} \right| &\leq K(|u_1 - u_2| + |v_1 - v_2|). \end{aligned}$$

We define two iteration sequences $(\bar{c}_1^{(m)}, \bar{c}_2^{(m)})$ and $(\underline{c}_1^{(m)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m)})$ as follows: for $m \geq 1$,

$$\bar{c}_1^{(m)} = \bar{c}_1^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(a\bar{c}_1^{(m-1)} - (\bar{c}_1^{(m-1)})^2 - \frac{\bar{c}_1^{(m-1)} \underline{c}_2^{(m-1)}}{m + \bar{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}_2^{(m)} &= \bar{c}_2^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(b\bar{c}_2^{(m-1)} - \frac{(\bar{c}_2^{(m-1)})^2}{\gamma\bar{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \\ \underline{c}_1^{(m)} &= \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(a\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)} - (\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)})^2 - \frac{\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)}\bar{c}_2^{(m-1)}}{m + \underline{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \\ \underline{c}_2^{(m)} &= \underline{c}_2^{(m-1)} + \frac{1}{K} \left(b\underline{c}_2^{(m-1)} - \frac{(\underline{c}_2^{(m-1)})^2}{\gamma\underline{c}_1^{(m-1)}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $(\bar{c}_1^0, \bar{c}_2^0) = (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)$ and $(\underline{c}_1^0, \underline{c}_2^0) = (\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2)$. Then for $m \geq 1$, $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \leq (\underline{c}_1^{(m)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m)}) \leq (\underline{c}_1^{(m+1)}, \underline{c}_2^{(m+1)}) \leq (\bar{c}_1^{(m+1)}, \bar{c}_2^{(m+1)}) \leq (\bar{c}_1^{(m)}, \bar{c}_2^{(m)}) \leq (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)$, and there exists $(\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2)$ and $(\check{c}_1, \check{c}_2)$ such that $(\underline{c}_1, \underline{c}_2) \leq (\check{c}_1, \check{c}_2) \leq (\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2) \leq (\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2)$, so $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{c}_1^{(m)} = \tilde{c}_1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{c}_2^{(m)} = \tilde{c}_2$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underline{c}_1^{(m)} = \check{c}_1$, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underline{c}_2^{(m)} = \check{c}_2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a - \tilde{c}_1 - \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{m + \tilde{c}_1}, & 0 &= b - \frac{\tilde{c}_2}{\gamma\tilde{c}_1}, \\ 0 &= a - \check{c}_1 - \frac{\check{c}_2}{m + \check{c}_1}, & 0 &= b - \frac{\check{c}_2}{\gamma\check{c}_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Simplifying (2.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (a - \tilde{c}_1)(m + \tilde{c}_1) &= b\gamma\tilde{c}_1, \\ (a - \check{c}_1)(m + \check{c}_1) &= b\gamma\check{c}_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Subtracting the first equation of (2.7) from the second equation, we have

$$(\tilde{c}_1 - \check{c}_1)(a - m + b\gamma - \tilde{c}_1 - \check{c}_1) = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

If we assume that $\tilde{c}_1 \neq \check{c}_1$, then

$$a - m + b\gamma = \tilde{c}_1 + \check{c}_1. \tag{2.9}$$

Substituting equation (2.9) into (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (a - \tilde{c}_1)(m + \tilde{c}_1) &= b\gamma(a - m + b\gamma - \tilde{c}_1), \\ (a - \check{c}_1)(m + \check{c}_1) &= b\gamma(a - m + b\gamma - \check{c}_1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Hence the following equation:

$$(a - x)(m + x) = b\gamma(a - m + b\gamma - x) \tag{2.11}$$

has two positive roots \tilde{c}_1 and \check{c}_1 . Eq. (2.11) can be written as follows:

$$x^2 + (m - a - b\gamma)x + (b\gamma + a)(b\gamma - m) = 0.$$

Since $m > b\gamma$, Eq. (2.11) cannot have two positive roots. Hence $\tilde{c}_1 = \check{c}_1$, and consequently, $\tilde{c}_2 = \check{c}_2$. Then from the results in [14,15], the solution $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ of system (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, x) = u_*, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t, x) = v_*, \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \bar{\Omega}.$$

The condition $m > b\gamma$ implies that $m^2 + 2(a + b\gamma)m + a^2 - 2ab\gamma \geq 0$. Hence from Theorem 2.1 and the above analysis, we can obtain that the constant equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for system (1.1) if (2.3) holds. \square

For the diffusive Holling–Tanner system with same kinetic equations, there are two other versions of nondimensionalized equations in [8,11]. Our result Theorem 2.2 can be applied to both equations with a conversion of the parameters. In [8] only a system of ordinary differential equations was considered, but adding diffusion will cast the system in [8] into the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + u(1 - u) - \frac{uv}{a + u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + v \left(\delta - \beta \frac{v}{u} \right), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \geq (\neq) 0, & x \in \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

In [8], for the corresponding kinetic system, it was proved that the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if one of the following assumptions is satisfied:

- (C1) $a + \delta \geq 1$;
- (C2) $a + \delta < 1, (1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8\delta \leq 0$;
- (C3) $a + \delta < 1, (1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8\delta > 0, \beta > \beta_2$, where

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\delta a_2}{(1 - a_2)(a + a_2)}, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - a - \delta + \sqrt{(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8a\delta}).$$

Theorem 2.2 implies that if $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$, then (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for the diffusive Holling–Tanner system (2.12). One can show that the parameter region given by $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$ is contained in the set given by (C1)–(C3). If a and δ satisfy (C1) or (C2), then it is clear that $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$ is satisfied. If a and δ do not satisfy (C1) or (C2), then $0 < a + \delta < 1$, and $(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8\delta > 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - a - \delta + \sqrt{(1 - a - \delta)^2 - 8a\delta}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1 - a - \delta) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\delta a_2}{(1 - a_2)(a + a_2)} < \frac{\delta}{a + a_2} < \frac{\delta}{a}.$$

Hence in this case, $\beta > \frac{\delta}{a}$ implies (C3).

On the other hand, the diffusive Holling–Tanner system in [11] is in the form of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = d_1 \Delta u + u(1 - \beta u) - \frac{muv}{1 + u}, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = d_2 \Delta v + sv \left(1 - \frac{v}{u}\right), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial v} = \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial v} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) > 0, v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \geq 0, & \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

For the kinetics system corresponding to (2.13), it was shown in [11] (by using the result of [8]) that the positive equilibrium (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable if

$$\beta \geq 1, \quad \text{or} \quad \beta < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad m \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)^2}{2(1 - \beta)^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

Now our Theorem 2.2 can be applied to (2.13), and we have proved that if $\beta > m$, then (u_*, v_*) is globally asymptotically stable for (2.13). The parameter region of global stability for the ODE in [8,11] is larger than the one proved in Theorem 2.2 for the PDE case (the diffusion coefficients d_1, d_2 are arbitrary), but this is not unexpected as the global stability for an infinite dimensional dynamical system is much more complex, as demonstrated in [17]. The parameterization of the system in [11] is easier to show for the parameter regions of global stability in Theorem 2.2 and [11].

References

- [1] R. Peng, M.X. Wang, Global stability of the equilibrium of a diffusive Holling–Tanner prey–predator model, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 20 (6) (2007) 664–670.
- [2] J.T. Tanner, The stability and the intrinsic growth rates of prey and predator populations, *Ecology* 56 (1975) 855–867.
- [3] R.M. May, *Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems*, second ed., Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.
- [4] P.H. Leslie, Some further notes on the use of matrices in population mathematics, *Biometrika* 35 (3–4) (1948) 213.
- [5] P.H. Leslie, J.C. Gower, The properties of a stochastic model for the predator–prey type of interaction between two species, *Biometrika* 47 (3–4) (1960) 219.
- [6] C.S. Holling, The components of predation as revealed by a study of small mammal predation of the European pine sawfly, *Can. Entomol.* 91 (5) (1959) 293–320.
- [7] J.D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology. I: An Introduction*, third ed., in: *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*, vol. 17, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [8] S.B. Hsu, T.W. Huang, Global stability for a class of predator–prey system, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 55 (3) (1995) 763–783.
- [9] S.B. Hsu, T.W. Hwang, Uniqueness of limit cycles for a predator–prey system of Holling and Leslie type, *Can. Appl. Math. Q.* 6 (2) (1998) 91–117.
- [10] R. Peng, M.X. Wang, Positive steady states of the Holling–Tanner prey–predator model with diffusion, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 135 (01) (2005) 149–164.
- [11] X. Li, W.H. Jiang, J.P. Shi, Hopf bifurcation and Turing instability in the reaction–diffusion Holling–Tanner predator–prey model (2010) (submitted for publication).
- [12] Y. Du, S.B. Hsu, A diffusive predator–prey model in heterogeneous environment, *J. Differential Equations* 203 (2) (2004) 331–364.
- [13] S.S. Chen, J.P. Shi, J.J. Wei, Global stability and Hopf bifurcation in a delayed diffusive Leslie–Gower predator–prey system, *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos* (2011) (in press).
- [14] C.V. Pao, On nonlinear reaction–diffusion systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 87 (1) (1982) 165–198.
- [15] C.V. Pao, *Nonlinear Parabolic and Elliptic Equations*, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 1992.
- [16] S.S. Chen, J.P. Shi, Global attractivity of equilibrium in Gierer–Meinhardt system with saturation and gene expression time delays (2011) (submitted for publication).
- [17] S.B. Hsu, A survey of constructing Lyapunov functions for mathematical models in population biology, *Taiwanese J. Math.* 9 (2) (2005) 151–173.